

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/809,718	03/26/2004	Shigeo Takenaka	250760US2S CONT	8085
22850	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ZIMMERMA	AN, GLENN
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2879	

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,718	TAKENAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Glenn Zimmerman	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved in the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	:	·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-7 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	- , ,	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0704</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 44 and t. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 9 line 2, the examiner suggest changing "within" to - - less than or equal to - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: metal back or transparent electrically conductive film. The examiner notes that the limitation of supplying a voltage to the first substrate is indefinite, as the voltage is supplied to the anodic metal back or transparent electrically conductive film that is on the first substrate not the first substrate.

Claims 2-8 are rejected for depending from a rejected base claim.

A 112 2nd paragraph rejection has been determined for claim 1, as written about above. However, a further evaluation of the claim will be done while interpreting "image display surface" in line 3 as "image display surface that includes an anode metal backing or anode transparent electrically conductive film on the inner surface of the first substrate".

A 112 2nd paragraph rejection has been determined for claim 1, as written about above. However, a further evaluation of the claim will be done while interpreting "first substrate" in lines 15 and 16 as "anode".

Art Unit: 2879

A 112 2nd paragraph rejection has been determined for claim 9, as written about above. However, a further evaluation of the claim will be done while interpreting "first substrate" in lines 23 and 24 as "anode".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Compain et al. U.S. Patent 6,683,415 in view of Nakamura et al. Japanese Patent Application Publication Number 03-149728.

Regarding claim 1, Compain teaches an image display device comprising:

A first substrate (Fig 7 ref. 6) having an image display surface (ref. 7 & 9) that includes an anode metal backing or anode transparent electrically conductive film (ref. 9) on the inner surface of the first substrate; a second substrate (ref. 10) opposed to the first substrate across a gap (Fig. 7 no ref. #) and having a plurality of electron sources (ref. 2) which excite the image display surface; a grid (ref. 40) provided between the first and second substrates and having a plurality of beam passage apertures (fig. 3) opposed to the electron sources individually; and a voltage supply unit which applies a voltage (Va) to the anode and applies a voltage higher (col. 3 lines 33-35) than the one for the anode to the grid (Fig. 5), but fails to teach a plurality of spacers which maintain

Art Unit: 2879

the space between the first substrate and the second substrate;. Nakamura in the analogous art teaches a plurality of spacers which maintain the space between the first substrate and the second substrate (drawing 3 ref. 18). Additionally, Nakamura teaches incorporation of such a spacer to improve atmospheric pressure proofing of the image display device (constitution).

Consequently it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a plurality of spacers which maintain the space between the first substrate and the second substrate in the display screen of Compain, since such a modification would improve atmospheric pressure proofing of the image display device as taught by Nakamura.

Regarding claim 9, Compain et. Al. U.S. patent 6,683,415 discloses an image display device according to claim 1 wherein the voltage applied to the grid is set within 1.5 times as high as the voltage applied to the anode (Fig. 5).

Claims 1-3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. U.S. Patent 6,583,549 in view of Compain et al. U.S. Patent 6,683,415.

Regarding claim 1, Takenaka teaches an image display device comprising: a first substrate (Fig. 11) having an image display surface that includes an anode metal backing (ref. 17) or anode transparent electrically conductive film on the inner surface of the first substrate;

A second substrate opposed to the first substrate across a gap and having a plurality of electron sources which excite the image display surface;

Page 6

A grid provided (ref. 24) between the first and second substrates and having a plurality of beam passage apertures (ref. 26) opposed to the electron sources, individually;

A plurality of spacers (ref. 30a and 30b) which maintain the space between the first substrate and the second substrate.

, but fails to teach A voltage supply unit which applies a voltage to the anode and applies a voltage higher than the one for the anode to the grid. Compain et al. in the analogous art teaches A voltage supply unit which applies a voltage to the anode and applies a voltage higher than the one for the anode to the grid (Fig. 5). Additionally, Compain teaches incorporation of such a higher voltage to improve the forbidding of generated parasitic ions from reaching the cathode or the anode.

Consequently it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a voltage supply unit which applies a voltage to the first substrate and applies a voltage to the first substrate and applies a voltage higher than the one for the first substrate to the grid in the image display of Takenaka, since such a modification would improve the forbidding of generated parasitic ions from reaching the cathode or the anode as taught by Compain.

Regarding claim 2, Takenaka et al. discloses an image display device according to claim 1, wherein the grid has a first surface opposed to the first substrate and a second surface opposed to the second substrate, and the spacers includes a plurality of columnar first spacers (ref. 30a) set up on the first surface of the grid and abutting

Art Unit: 2879

against the first substrate and a plurality of columnar second spacers (ref. 30b) set up on the second surface of the grid and abutting against the second substrate.

Regarding claim 3, Takenaka et al. discloses an image display device accordin to claim 2, wherein each of the first spacers is set up on the first surface of the grid between the beam passage apertures, and each of the second spacers is setup on the second surface of the grid between the beam passage apertures and aligned with the first spacers (Fig. 8 and 11).

Regarding claim 8, Takenaka et al. discloses an image display device according to claim 1, wherein the surface of the grid and the inner surface of each beam passage apertures are subjected to high-resistance surface treatment (col. 17 line 59; surface is surface).

Regarding claim 9, Compain discloses wherein the voltage applied to the grid is set within 1.5 times as high as the voltage applied to the anode (Fig. 5). This claim is rejected for the same reasons found in claim 1.

Claims 1-3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takenaka et al. WO 01/71760 A1 in view of Compain et al. U.S. Patent 6,683,415.

The examiner notes that Takenaka et al. U.S. Patent 6,583,549 is a continuation of Takenaka et al. WO 01/71760 A1 and that the U.S. Patent is in English and the WO document is in Japanese. Therefore the examiner rejects the same claims as rejected using the U.S. Patent in view of Compain except using the WO document in view of Compain while using the U.S. Patent as an English Translation of the WO document.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 4, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record neither shows nor suggests an image display device including the combination of all the limitations as set forth in claim 4, and specifically wherein the first spacers are shorter than the second spacers could not be found elsewhere in prior art.

Regarding claim 5, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record neither shows nor suggests an image display device including the combination of all the limitations as set forth in claim 5, and specifically wherein each of the first spacers abuts against the first substrate across a height correcting layer could not be found elsewhere in prior art.

Regarding claim 6, claim 6 is allowed for the reasons given in claim 5, because of its dependency status on claim 5.

Regarding claim 7, the following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record neither shows nor suggests an image display apparatus including the combination of all the limitations as set forth in claim 7, and

Application/Control Number: 10/809,718 Page 9

Art Unit: 2879

specifically wherein the second spacers have a surface resistance lower than the surface the surface resistance of the first spacers could not be found elsewhere in prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cade et al. U.S. Patent 6,373,175 discloses an electronic switching device (col. 5 line 50).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn Zimmerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2466. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh D Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glennzimmerman

Vip Patel Primary Examiner

AU 2879